

Cold headed vs machined solid pins

By Christie Jones, vice president – director of marketing, SPIROL International

It's important to understand the differences between cold heading and machining when designing a solid pin for an assembly. This is because the manufacturing method directly impacts the design specifications (tolerances, geometry and material) that can be assigned to the solid pin. The objective of this article is to educate designers about the differences between cold heading and machining so that they understand how to design a solid pin that optimises performance and reduces total manufactured cost of the assembly.

Ref: FFT130525

All content © Fastener + Fixing Magazines, 2025.

This technical article is subject to copyright and should only be used as detailed below.

Failure to do so is a breach of our conditions and may violate copyright law.

You may:

- View the content of this technical article for your personal use on any compatible device and store the content on that device for your personal reference.
- Print single copies of the article for your personal reference.
- Share links to this technical article by quoting the title of the article, as well as a full URL of the technical page of our website – www.fastfixtechnology.com/technical
- Publish online the title and standfirst (introductory paragraph) of this technical article, followed by a link to the technical page of the website – www.fastfixtechnology.com/technical

You may NOT:

- Copy any of this content or republish or redistribute either in part or in full any of this article, for example by pasting into emails or republishing it in any media, including other websites, printed or digital magazines or newsletters.

In case of any doubt or to request permission to publish or reproduce outside of these conditions please contact: editor@fastfixtechnology.com

Cold headed vs machined solid pins

By Christie Jones, vice president – director of marketing, SPIROL International

It's important to understand the differences between cold heading and machining when designing a solid pin for an assembly. This is because the manufacturing method directly impacts the design specifications (tolerances, geometry and material) that can be assigned to the solid pin. The objective of this article is to educate designers about the differences between cold heading and machining so that they understand how to design a solid pin that optimises performance and reduces total manufactured cost of the assembly.

Solid pins are common fasteners used for aligning, joining and assembling multiple components. These pins are most useful where the clamp load of a bolt is not needed. They are also used for specific functions such as locating components, hinges, tamper-resistant designs, among others.

Two common manufacturing methods used to produce solid pins are cold heading and machining. Cold heading and machining both produce consistent parts. Interestingly, many outside diameter (OD) ground dowels are not actually machined. It is common to pass cold headed

more complex. There are limitations to the amount of material that can be displaced per blow.

Cold heading sometimes includes a wire drawing process that work hardens the material, increasing both the yield and tensile strength. Machined pins produced with the same base material will have lower yield and tensile values because the material grain structure has been interrupted.

Solid pin design specifications and manufacturing capabilities

The first step in designing a joint is to establish the functional requirements of both host components and fasteners. Performance requirements should be achieved without over specifying the design. An ideal joint satisfies performance and quality requirements at the lowest possible cost. The information below will help designers understand the differences in capabilities between cold heading and machining as it pertains to design specifications for solid pins and host component holes.

Press fit dowels and straight pins are typically retained in the assembly by being pressed into holes that are smaller than the pin diameter. In most applications, interference must be limited to keep insertion forces within practical limits. The acceptable press fit for most metals (steel, brass and aluminium) is 0.0125mm to 0.025mm of material

Manufacturing comparison		
	Cold heading	Machining
Quality of parts	✓	✓
Yield and tensile strength	✓	
Tight tolerances	✓	✓
Retention features	✓	✓
Scrap during manufacturing		✗
Complex part geometry		✓
Large length / diameter pin	✓	
Minimal tooling costs		✓
Short set-up time		✓
Fast cycle time	✓	
Lowest overall solid pin cost	✓	
Lowest hole preperation costs	✓	

blanks through a secondary grinding operation in order to produce the OD ground dowels.

Overview

Machining is the process of cutting raw material (rod) into a desired geometry using cutting tools. This operation is typically performed on a lathe. Machining produces scrap in the form of chips.

Cold heading is the process of forming raw material into a desired geometry by upsetting the material (wire) in one or more dies. The most common method of cold heading fasteners uses one die and two blows as this is sufficient for forming chamfers and heads. Dies provide cavities used to form the desired geometry, while a blow describes the physical process of upsetting material with a machine stroke. Additional dies and blows are needed as geometry becomes





displacement. Since this tolerance threshold includes the sum of the tolerances of both the pin and hole diameter, pins must be precision machined and holes must be reamed and/or honed. This increases cycle time and manufacturing costs associated with hole preparation.

It's also important to recognise that free fit hinges do not require press fit holes and should not require pin diameter tolerances tighter than ±0.025mm.

Tolerance

Typically, the OD is the most critical solid pin dimension. Both cold heading and machining can achieve the tolerance specifications needed for the majority of solid pin applications. In fact, cold heading produces solid pins with OD total tolerances of 0.05mm (less than the thickness of a human hair). Machining can achieve tighter OD tolerances than cold heading, but this generally requires special ground OD rod. This should be avoided (if possible) as ground OD rod can be more than three times the cost of standard rod. For solid pin length tolerances, machining and cold heading can achieve the same tolerance levels of approximately ±0.25mm. This varies by pin length.

The purpose of a chamfer is to allow for ease of assembly. A chamfer angle between 25° – 40° is suitable for the vast majority of solid pin applications and allows for maximum pin engagement. From a manufacturing standpoint, the optimal cutting angle (machining) is 45°, while the optimal forming angle (cold heading) is 30° or less.

Material

The most common materials for solid pins are carbon and stainless steels. Raw materials are available in different forms depending on whether pins will be machined (rod) or cold headed (wire). Commercially available material grades for rod and wire can differ. Rod is available in material grades best suited for machining, while wire is available in material grades suited for cold heading. Although material grades may differ, the important take away is that there are equivalent materials available for cold headed and machined solid pins. Hence, best engineering practices dictate that material specifications on drawings be relatively general if possible.

Table One shows examples of several common materials for cold heading and machining for reference.

Table One: Examples of common materials			
Material	Benefit	Cold heading	Machining
Austenitic (nickel) stainless steel	Excellent corrosion resistance	305, 302 HQ	303
Martensitic (chrome) stainless steel	Corrosion resistant High shear strength High hardness	410	420
Low carbon steel	Versatile Low cost	1022	12L14
Alloy steel	High shear strength High hardness	6150, 4037	4150
Aluminium	Corrosion resistant Lightweight Lead free	5056	2024, 6061

Cost comparison – cold headed vs machined

Machined solid pins are typically about ten times the cost of cold headed solid pins. Cold heading produces solid pins at a rate of about 300 parts per minute (ppm), while machining yields approximately 4ppm. Machining also generates scrap. Hence, more raw material is needed to machine a solid pin than to cold head the same part. The only scrap generated during cold heading is that which is produced during set-up. Additionally, ground OD rods can cost more than three times as much as standard rod used for machining.

Although machining is more costly than cold heading, set-up costs are significantly lower for machining. Companies that standardise on solid pin sizes are able to mitigate set-up costs so there is a negligible cost impact to customers. However, set-up costs can be significant for custom designs, especially at low volumes.

Figure Two: Manufacturing comparison 25,000pcs of 3mm x 30mm headed solid pin			
Manufacturing method		Cold heading	Machining
Parts produced	#	25,000	25,000
Raw material description	–	Wire	Standard rod
Raw material needed (weight)	kg	8.6	26.3
Set-up time	hrs	6 – 12	2 – 4
Total production time	hrs	1.4	104
Scrap	%	<1%	65%
Diameter tolerance	mm	± 0.025mm	± 0.0125mm
Cost	–	✓	✗

Case study

The case study shown in Figure Two illustrates the differences between cold heading and machining a 3mm OD x 30mm long headed solid pin (blank dowel). As highlighted in Figure Two, raw material quantity and ppm are the major factors for the drastic cost difference between cold heading and machining.

Conclusion

Designers can optimise the performance and total manufactured cost of a joint by understanding the differences between cold headed and machined Solid Pins. Both manufacturing methods produce high quality, consistent parts. However, there are significant cost and capability differences between cold heading and machining. This article can serve as a reference tool to assist designers with solid pin design specifications. However, it's recommended that manufacturers partner with industry experts in joining and assembling to identify the lowest cost solution for their assembly.