



How to ensure bolted joint integrity when using a compression limiter in a plastic assembly

By Tara B. Meinck, applications engineer, SPIROL International Corporation

Compression limiters are used to protect plastic components in bolted joints and maintain a threaded fastener's clamp load by eliminating plastic creep.

Ref: FFT180405

Originally published in Fastener + Fixing Magazine Issue 110.

All content © Fastener + Fixing Magazines, 2018. This technical article is subject to copyright and should only be used as detailed below. Failure to do so is a breach of our conditions and may violate copyright law.

You may:

- View the content of this technical article for your personal use on any compatible device and store the content on that device for your personal reference.
- Print single copies of the article for your personal reference.
- Share links to this technical article by quoting the title of the article, as well as a full URL of the technical page of our website www.fastfixtechnology.com/technical
- Publish online the title and standfirst (introductory paragraph) of this technical article, followed by a link to the technical page of the website www.fastfixtechnology.com/technical

You may NOT:

• Copy any of this content or republish or redistribute either in part or in full any of this article, for example by pasting into emails or republishing it in any media, including other websites, printed or digital magazines or newsletters.

In case of any doubt or to request permission to publish or reproduce outside of these conditions please contact: editor@fastfixtechnology.com

fastfixtechnology.com

How to ensure bolted joint integrity when using a compression limiter in a plastic assembly

By Tara B. Meinck, applications engineer, SPIROL International Corporation

Compression limiters are used to protect plastic components in bolted joints and maintain a threaded fastener's clamp load by eliminating plastic creep.

o function properly, the bearing surface beneath the bolt's head must extend over the compression limiter to contact the plastic component. If this bearing surface is too small, the host component may not be retained by the bolt – resulting in a poor joint (shown to the right).



No clamp

There are several methods to ensure sufficient bearing surface under the bolt's

head. These include the use of a flanged bolt, washer, or headed compression limiters (shown below).



The individual component cost, ease or complexity of assembly, and overall cost of each configuration, influence which method is best suited for each application.

How much plastic should be compressed?

Ideally, compression limiter length is equal to or slightly less than the host thickness. The amount of material compressed under the bolt's head varies depending on the application's loading and plastic properties. This area of compression must be large enough to withstand forces attempting to pull the assembly apart, yet small enough to allow sufficient plastic compression so that the compression limiter contacts both the bolt and the mating component (shown right).



Plastic compressed by bolt's bearing surface area (highlighted in red)

Assembly considerations

Several factors including speed and assembly method must be considered when determining the most cost-effective solution for a specific application.

Assembly speed

Various fastener combinations were manually assembled to determine approximate differences in efficiency. Results are as follows:

Assembly speed	
Fastener configuration	Average speed (seconds)
Flanged bolt, symmetrical compression limiter	1.24
Bolt, headed compression limiter	1.44
Washer, bolt, symmetrical compression limiter	2.48

Assembly with a flanged bolt was the fastest, followed by a headed compression limiter, which must be orientated. As expected, the addition of a third component (the washer) significantly slowed the assembly process – requiring twice the assembly time.

Automating assembly

When an assembly is automated, it is imperative to ensure the design is as efficient as possible. The addition of a third component, such as a washer, may not be desirable when automating due to feeding and alignment challenges.

Other common factors affecting efficiency include the number of components and ease of orientation. All bolts, headed compression limiters, and some washers require orientation. Due to their relatively low head to outer diameter ratio, and short length, headed compression limiters and washers are more difficult to mechanically orientate than bolts. Conversely, symmetrical compression limiters do not need to be orientated. An assembly with a flanged bolt only requires one component's orientation while that with a headed compression limiter or washer requires two components to be orientated.

"

Relative cost differences between bolts and compression limiters vary depending on component supplier and bolt characteristics."

Design intangibles

Use of a headed compression limiter or flanged bolt in serviceable assemblies may be preferable as there would be no washer that could be accidentally omitted during reassembly. These are also preferable in applications where there are multiple assembly locations and/or poor quality control.

Individual component costs

Generally, fasteners are the least expensive components in an assembly. The following chart shows representative pricing for each component combination previously discussed, based on an annual usage of one million assemblies incorporating an M6 joint.

Estimated cost of individual components per thousand pieces			
Component	Cost		
Washer	US\$5		
Bolt	US\$42		
Flanged bolt	US\$83		
Symmetrical compression limiter	US\$20		
Headed compression limiter	US\$100		

Estimated cost of combined components per thousand pieces		
Fastener configuration	Cost	
Washer, bolt, symmetrical compression limiter	US\$67	
Flanged bolt, symmetrical compression limiter	US\$103	
Bolt, headed compression limiter	US\$142	

Relative cost differences between bolts and compression limiters vary depending on component supplier and bolt characteristics. Of these three potential combinations, the method with a washer, bolt, and non-headed compression limiter provides the lowest component cost for controlling bearing surface. However, as previously stated, the cost of the fastening components is often the least significant compared to the overall cost of the assembly.

Overall cost

The following shows an estimated overall cost analysis of each configuration assuming a US\$50/hour labour cost to assemble one million components:

Fastener configuration	Component cost per million	Average assembly speed (seconds)	Total cost of assembly per million
Washer, bolt, symmetrical compression limiter	US\$67,000	2.48	US\$101,444
Flanged bolt, symmetrical compression limiter	US\$103,000	1.24	US\$120,222
Bolt, headed compression limiter	US\$142,000	1.44	US\$162,000

Conclusion

The best method to ensure adequate bearing surface on the plastic in a bolted assembly depends on an application's requirements and limitations. A washer may be preferred in lower volume and/or non-serviced applications. In higher volume, automated, and/or serviceable applications, a non-headed compression limiter with a flanged bolt is the easiest to assemble and provides the lowest total cost. Both configurations with a washer or flanged bolt will provide a lower cost solution than using a headed compression limiter.

Although this article offers general design guidelines, it is recommended that application engineers, who specialise in fastening and joining, be consulted to ensure a properly configured joint is employed for each application. +

www.spirol.co.uk

Not captured in this analysis are the administrative costs associated with ordering, handling, and maintaining inventory of components as well as their suppliers. The addition of a third component may increase these costs. Additionally, if the assembly process is automated, the technology required to feed and orientate a washer will also increase overall cost. Regardless, a flanged bolt or washer can replace a headed compression limiter in most applications to increase assembly efficiency and lower the overall cost of the assembly.